

Associations Between Child- and Classroom-Level Interactions in Early Childhood Settings: Observations with the inCLASS and CLASS



Leslie M. Booren and Ashley V. Truett, University of Virginia, Center for the Advanced Study of Teaching and Learning (CASTL)
Anne H. Cash, Johns Hopkins University, Bloomberg School of Public Health



Background

For children between the ages of 3 and 5, "readiness" to function competently in school is evident in the nature and quality of their behavioral, social and language-based interactions in preschool classrooms. Classroom interactions provide a valid indicator of how children make use of learning and social opportunities (Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2000).

Increasingly, direct observation is being used to assess individual children's interaction competence (Neisworth & Bagnato, 2004), as well as the quality of interactions afforded by a classroom environment (Pianta & Hamre, 2009). To increase our understanding of interactions within early childhood classrooms, there is a need for more research that focuses on both child- and classroom-level interactions.

Research Questions


Using observations, the current study allows for a descriptive examination of two compelling questions:

RQ1: Are child- and classroom-level interactions associated (i.e., children's individual classroom experiences aligned with the average quality of interactions in the classroom as a whole)?


RQ2: Do these child- and classroom-level associations differ based on child gender?

Child- & Classroom-level Observations

The *Individualized Classroom Assessment Scoring System* (inCLASS) is a child-focused observational tool that examines 4 domains and 10 dimensions of competent child interactions (Downer et al., 2010; see Figure 1). Inter-rater reliability was calculated across 20% classrooms (cycle and dimension agreement within-1 ranged from 89-100%).



The *Classroom Assessment Scoring System* (CLASS) measures teacher-child interaction quality for an entire classroom using 3 domains and 10 dimensions (Pianta et al., 2008; see Figure 2). Inter-rater reliability was calculated across 15% of classrooms (cycle and dimension agreement within-1 ranged from 83-97%).



Methods

Participants: 140 children (75 girls & 65 boys) that were 4- and 6-years old ($M = 61.10$ months) in 98 early childhood classrooms (12 Head Start, 21 non-Head Start, & 65 Kindergarten).

Procedure: Data were collected during Fall 2008 as part of a follow-up year in a larger longitudinal study.
• Alternated cycles across the morning (average 4 inCLASS cycles per child & 2 CLASS per classroom).
• Each observation cycle was at most 20 minutes (10 minutes observing, 5-10 minutes coding).

Measures: All observations were rated on 7-pt scale (1=low, 7=high) using behavioral markers in two standardized manuals. For each measure, domain scores across all cycles were calculated; see Figures 1 and 2 for details.

Results

RQ1: Pearson correlations were calculated using inCLASS and CLASS domain scores (see Table 1). Associations were small, but the inCLASS correlated with the CLASS in expected ways.

Table 1: Bivariate Pearson Correlations by CLASS and inCLASS Domain

	Emotional Support	Classroom Organization	Instructional Support
Teacher Interactions	0.12	0.07	0.14
Peer Interactions	0.10	-0.03	0.17*
Task Orientation	0.01	0.16*	0.12
Conflict Interactions	0.01	-0.08	0.04

Note: * $p \leq .05$

RQ2: To investigate the extent to which inCLASS and CLASS associations may differ by child gender, Pearson correlations were first conducted and then followed up by Fisher's r to z transformations to test differences in the correlations (see Table 2).

Table 2: Bivariate Pearson Correlations and Follow-up Fisher's R to Z Transformation of CLASS and inCLASS Domains by Gender

		Emotional Support		Classroom Organization		Instructional Support	
		r	z	r	z	r	z
Teacher Interactions	Boys	-0.04	-1.64*	-0.02	-1.05	0.36**	2.52**
	Girls	0.24*		0.16		-0.06	
Peer Interactions	Boys	-0.00	-0.93	-0.23*	-1.99*	0.17	0.06
	Girls	0.16		0.11		0.16	
Task Orientation	Boys	0.11	0.93	0.12	-0.41	0.22*	1.00
	Girls	-0.05		0.19		0.05	
Conflict Interactions	Boys	-0.30*	-2.90**	-0.23*	-1.41	0.03	-0.06
	Girls	0.19		0.01		0.04	

Note: * $p \leq 0.10$, * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$

Summary & Discussion

For **Research Question 1**, child- and classroom-level correlations suggest that:

- Task Orientation** • Children tend to be more oriented to assigned tasks in classrooms with higher *organizational quality* (i.e., when classroom processes were clearly organized and managed). This finding suggests that well-managed classrooms typically have children with better task-orientated behaviors.
- Peer Interactions** • Children tend to be engaged in more frequent and sustained peer interactions in classrooms with higher *instructional quality* (i.e., when there were more classroom opportunities to use higher-order thinking skills, receive feedback, and develop language skills). It might be that in classrooms that encourage in-depth thinking and communication, children interact better with their peers.

Findings for **Research Question 2** suggest that there are some gender differences in the relationship between child- and classroom-level interactions:

- Teacher Interactions** • Boys tended to interact more positively with teachers compared to girls in classrooms with higher *instructional quality*.
• Girls tended to interact more positively with teachers compared to boys in classrooms with higher *emotional quality* (i.e., more positive affect, teacher awareness, and flexibility).
• These findings suggest that different types of classroom support may be associated with better teacher interactions for boys and girls.
- Peer Interactions** • Boys tended to interact more positively with peers compared to girls in classrooms with lower *organizational quality*.
- Conflict Interactions** • Boys tended to have more conflictual interactions compared to girls in classrooms with lower *emotional quality*. It could be that in more emotional supportive environments boys would have more controlled positive behavior.

Implications & Future Directions

Implications:

- Important for teachers, and individuals who support teachers' work, to recognize that opportunities for children to engage in high quality interactions may be associated with the observed classroom quality.
- Future research should continue to pay attention to interactions from both perspectives - interactions afforded by the teacher and classroom environment, and interactions as initiated by children themselves.

Limitations and Future Directions:

- The analyses used in this study examine basic associations, but future research should utilize a more rigorous analytical design that accounts for child- and classroom-level covariates and investigate the directionality of these trends.
- Effects tended to be small, yet significant, for both RQ1 and RQ2.
 - The current sample is small therefore more classrooms and children within those classrooms may be needed to further investigate these associations.
 - It may be that more observations (e.g., cycles and days) are needed to establish stronger, more stable estimate of child- and classroom-level interactions.
- The current sample included both kindergarten and preschool children and their classrooms.
 - Future research should consider examining these populations separately because there may be developmental differences and standards within these contexts.
- Future research should examine how these associations are related to children's achievement and long-term outcomes.

Acknowledgements

This study is part of the Interagency Consortium for School Readiness Outcome Measures (ICSROM) and co-funded by the U.S. Departments of Health and Human Services and Education. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not represent views of the U.S. Departments of Health and Human Services or Education.

For more information, contact Leslie Booren at booren@virginia.edu. Poster presented at Society for Research in Child Development (SRCD) biennial research conference in Montreal, Quebec Canada during April 2011.